

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		4.			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	¥.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,632	10/13/2000		Jean-Pierre Tahon	4907/Oconalign	8441
75	90 10/22/200	EXAMINER			
Alfred W. Bre	iner	HON, SOW FUN			
Breiner & Brein	er				
P.O. Box 19290	+	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, VA 22320-0290				1772	11
	•			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								AS
			Applic	ation No.		Applicant(s)		<b>,</b>
			09/689	9,632		TAHON ET AL.		
	Offic	Action Summary	Exami	ner		Art Unit		
			Sow-Fu	un Hon		1772		
		LING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover shee	t with th co	rrespondence a	dress	
Period fo					- • • <del>- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</del>			
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING [ nsions of time r SIX (6) MONT period for repl period for repl period for repl period for reply reto reply with reply received t	O STATUTORY PERIOD ID ATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provision HS from the mailing date of this come y specified above is less than thirty (y is specified above, the maximum in the set or extended period for reploy the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an ly will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, ma statutory minimum of d will expire SIX (6) I application to becom	y a reply be time f thirty (30) days v MONTHS from the BANNDONED	ly filed will be considered time e mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Respons	ive to communication(s) f	filed on <u>02 Septemb</u>	<u>er 2003</u> .				
2a)□	·	on is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action					
3) <u> </u>	closed in	s application is in condition accordance with the prac					ne merits is	
·	ion of Clai		ndina in the empline	4:				
-		4,5,7-14 and 17 is/are pe						
	•	above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from	consideration.				
		is/are allowed.	44					
		<i>1,5,7-14 and 17</i> is/are reje	ectea.					
		is/are objected to.						
• —	Claim(s) _ ion Papers	are subject to restri	iction and/or electio	n requirement.				
9)[	The specif	ication is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)	The drawir	ng(s) filed on is/are	e: a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to b	by the Exam	iner.		
_		may not request that any ob						
11)[]		sed drawing correction file	•		_l disapprov	ed by the Exami	ner.	
40)[]	• • •	ed, corrected drawings are re		Office action.				
•		r declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.					
		J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•		dgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).		
a)		☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	_	tified copies of the priority						
		tified copies of the priority						
* 5		pies of the certified copies application from the Inter ached detailed Office action	national Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a	1)).		l Stage	
		gment is made of a claim		•			al application	1).
•		ranslation of the foreign la	•			•		*
	•	gment is made of a claim		• •				
Attachmen								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review ( sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			e of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No Itent Application (P		

Art Unit: 1772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/02/03 has been entered.

## Withdrawn Rejections

2. The 112,2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections in Paper # 8 (mailed 05/02/03) have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment and cancellation of claim 13 in Paper # 10 (filed 09/02/03).

## Rejections Repeated

3. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections over Escher et al. in view of Kämpf et al., inclusive of the rejection further in view of Eguchi, have been repeated for the same reasons previously of record in Paper # 8 (mailed 05/02/03).

### Advisory

4. Applicant is advised that should claim 8 be found allowable, claim 17 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight

Art Unit: 1772

difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

### New Rejections

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. New claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Escher et al. in view of Kämpf et al.

Escher et al. has a liquid crystal display where the alignment layer (orienting) is in direct electrical contact with the associated electrode (electroconductive layer). The electrically conductive polymer which comprises the alignment layer is a polythiophene (column 2, lines 1-70).

Escher et al. teaches that the electrically conductive polymer is coated onto a glass substrate provided with a transparent electrode (column 5, lines 20-50). Since the associated electrodes are discrete elements, the alignment layer will have conducting areas where it is in direct electrical contact with the associated electrode, and will have non-conducting areas in between the electrodes.

Since the electrically conductive polymer has a specific conductance of at least 10<sup>-5</sup> Siemens (column 3, lines 30-40), the examiner has taken the position that the alignment layer has a surface resistivity of the claimed amount.

Art Unit: 1772

Escher et al. teaches that the polythiophene (column 2, lines 1-55) has the thiophene monomer formula below:

where at least one of the two radicals R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> is an alkoxy group and the other is optionally (C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>)alkyl or hydrogen, have already been described in DE-A 3.717.668, DE-A 3.628,895 and DE-A 3,736,114. The preparation, the stability and electrical conductivity of the various, positively doped polymers were also investigated therein.

wherein  $R^3$  and  $R^4$  are in the same positions of claimed  $-O-R^1$  and  $-O-R^2$  on the thiophene ring. The hydrogens are where the repeat units are linked upon polymerization of the thiophene monomer. Escher et al. discloses that  $R^3$  and  $R^4$  have already been described in DE-A 3,717,668.

US 5,286,414 (Kämpf et al.) is the US equivalent of DE-A 3,717,668. Kämpf et al. teaches that the polythiophene has the thiophene monomer formula below:

$$\begin{array}{c}
R^1 \\
R^2
\end{array}$$
(I)

in which

R<sup>1</sup> denotes a C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>12</sub> alkoxy group or —O(CH<sub>n2</sub>C-H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> where n=1 to 4 and
R<sup>2</sup> denotes a hydrogen atom, a C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>12</sub> alkyl group, a C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>12</sub> alkoxy group, or —O(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> where n=1 to 4, or

R<sup>1</sup> together with R<sup>2</sup> represents  $-O(CH_2)_m-CH_2$ -or  $-O(CH_2)_m-O$ -, in which m is 1 to 12.

wherein  $R^{I}$  and  $R^{2}$  occupy the same positions of claimed  $-O-R^{1}$  and  $-O-R^{2}$  on the thiophene ring.

Art Unit: 1772

Kämpf et al. teaches that  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  together represent -O-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>m</sub>-O—where m is 1 to 12 (column 2, lines 30-55), which encompasses the claimed limitation that  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  together represent a C1-C4 alkylene group where m is 1 to 4.

Since Escher et al. discloses that Kämpf et al. describes alternate electrically conductive polymers which are also investigated, with their disclosure right after the disclosure of the preferred electrically conductive polymer in the orienting layers (column 2, lines 1-55), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the polythiophene described by Kämpf et al. as an alternate to the polythiophene specified in the invention of Escher et al. in order to obtain a liquid crystal display with the desired conductive properties.

### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 09/02/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues that Escher does not teach that poly (3,4-dioxyalkylene thiophene) exhibits liquid crystal orienting properties since Escher merely claims that poly (3,4-dioxyalkylene thiophene) exhibits liquid crystal orienting properties but does not provide enabling experimental support.

Applicant is respectfully apprised that the teaching by Escher that the poly (3,4-dioxyalkylene thiophene) has good orienting properties is sufficient in terms of suggesting to one of ordinary skill in the art to use it as an orienting layer. Since the polymer layer is mechanically rubbed to form an orienting layer (gently stroked twice in the same direction) ('538, column 5,

Art Unit: 1772

lines 20-55) it is the examiner's position that the disclosure is enabling. Applicant is respectfully requested to demonstrate how and why the experimental support is not enabling.

9. Applicant argues that since no reference is made to DE-A 3,717,668, DE-A 3,628,895, DE-A 3,736,114 or to any corresponding applications thereof in the disclosure of formula (I) of Escher et al., the options for R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> in the formula (II) of Escher et al. cannot have a greater scope than those for formula (I) and hence any implied allusion to a previous description of R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> in DE-A 3,717,668, DE-A 3,628,895, DE-A 3,736,114 or to any corresponding applications thereof must be limited to the options for R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> in formula (I).

Applicant is respectfully apprised that the mere disclosure of the electrically conducting polymers of formula (II) right after formula (I) is indicative that formula (II) may be used as an alternate in place of preferred formula (I). Escher et al. does not teach against the use of the electrically conducting polymers of formula (II).

10. Applicant argues that Kämpf does not disclose the preparation of poly(3,4-dioxyalkylenethiophene)s and that while Kämpf purports to disclose solvent-soluble oligomers of poly(3,4-dioxyalkylenethiophene)s, later research show such to be insoluble and not swellable in solvent and solvent mixtures thus demonstrating that Kämpf is not enabled by experimental data.

Applicant is respectfully apprised that the teaching by Kämpf of the use of poly(3,4-dioxyalkylenethiophene)s as an electrically conducting (electroconductive) coating ('414, column 2, lines 25-70), and the inclusion of its parent DE-A 3,717,668 in Escher et al. (primary reference) as teaching alternate electrically conducting embodiments of poly(3,4-dioxyalkylenethiophene), provide sufficient motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to have

Art Unit: 1772

used the alternate embodiments of poly(3,4-dioxyalkylenethiophene) of taught by Kämpf as the electrically conductive poly(3,4-dioxyalkylenethiophene) in the orienting layer of Escher et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (703)308-3265. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703)308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Sow-Fun Hon

0/10/13

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER